

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

10/625,275

Applicant(s)

RIDDLE ET AL.

Examiner

Elizabeth A. Shaw

Art Unit

3644

All Participants:(1) Elizabeth A. Shaw.(2) Chuck Dougherty.**Status of Application:** _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 24 June 2004**Time:** 2:30 pm Eastern**Type of Interview:**☒ Telephonic☐ Video Conference☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Probable rejections over cattle guards and conveyor belts

Claims discussed:

All

Prior art documents discussed:

*none***Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet***Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Language was discussed to further define the structure of the guards extending above the plane of the frame and all instances the "guards" have been renamed "foot pinching means". Claims 21-23 were to be restricted as a separate invention but have been changed to depend from claim 1.
See Examiner's Amendment. .